

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/530,225	SMAHL, JARMO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fannie Kee	3679	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Amendment after Non-Final

(1) Fannie Kee.

(3) _____.

(2) Kelly McGlashen, #60,927.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 November 2009

Time: 10 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

7, 9, 12-14

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative agreed to cancel claims 7, 9, 12, and 14 and amend claim 13 as outlined in the attached Examiner's Amendment, Paper No. 20091104, which puts this application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)